Case 3:23-cr-00429-CRB Document 13 Filed 11/17/23 Page 1 of 1

United States District Court Northern district of California San Francisco division

United States of America,) Case No. CR 23 -00429 CRB
Plaintiff,) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING) TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Marlo Olvardo Crus Defendant.	
that the ends of justice served by the conti	e record on
Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	lld be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
of defendants, the nature of the profact or law, that it is unreasonable to expe	lex, due to [check applicable reasons] the numbe secution, or the existence of novel questions of ct adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the ed by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Failure to grant a continuance wou counsel, taking into account the exe 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	ald deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain reise of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. §
	ald unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of case commitments, taking into account the exercise of O(B)(iv).
	ald unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable aking into account the exercise of due diligence. See
prompt disposition of criminal cases, the case the first paragraph and — based on the paragraph the time limits for a preliminary	and taking into account the public interest in the court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in tries' showing of good cause — finds good cause for a hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on R. Crim. P. 5-1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED.	4
DATED:	SALLIE KIM United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney